Reactions assessment		Bradford Rd route		Backstones route	
Group	Electoral significance (numbers, influence, engagement, persistence)	Reaction	Why?	Reaction	Why?
Residents of Bradford Rd E and W of roundabout; Combe Rd and Greendown Place	22	#/!	Making me park my car further away	•••	No impact on parking or access, so largely indifferent
Everyday adult cyclists	* * *		Frustration at poor design choices that undermine Scholars Way's potential	<u> </u>	Perceived as a meaningful enhancement to cycling infrastructure
Everyday able-bodied pedestrians		Ç	Widespread unease about mixing pedestrians and cyclists on footways	••	No direct effect on pedestrian environment, so no strong view
Older pedestrians; sensory and motor impaired pedestrians	****	#73	Deep frustration that lived experience of elderly / disabled residents is ignored, despite policy commitments to equality	<u>•</u>	No specific impact on accessibility
Mums and dads taking children to CDPrimary	***	bo #/.!	Feelings of betrayal by a Council that promised safe walking routes for children	••	No effect on school journey route, so no engagement
Parents of Ralph Allen and Prior Park students who walk via Bradford Rd		#/.!	Parents feel Council has failed to protect their children's walking routes	••	No effect on school journey route, so no engagement
Campaigning groups: RNIB, Open Streets etc	* * * * * *	⚠ 🖐	Mandate to flag inappropriate infrastructure risks to vulnerable users	○	Seen as a case where Council responded to accessibility concerns — a positive example to others

This table summarises potential political and community-level reactions to the two routes. My judgments of reactions are, of course, subjective — but even if you apply your own, the pattern remains clear: strong opposition and electoral sensitivity around the Bradford Road scheme, and broad acceptance (or indifference) toward the Backstones alternative. Reopening the matter may therefore carry political benefits as well as policy and financial ones.